

1 Ali Shahrokhi
2 10695 Dean Martin Drive, #1214
3 Las Vegas, NV 89141
4 Telephone: 702-835-3558
Email: Alibe76@gmail.com
Plaintiff in Propria Persona

5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

8 ALI SHAHROKHI, individually
9 and as natural father and next
10 friend for B.E.S, minor

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 JUDGE MATTHEW HARTER
14 In His Individual Capacity,
15 THOMAS STANDISH,
16 PHILIP SPRADLING, and
17 KIZZY BURROW,

18 Defendants.

19) Case No. 2:20-cv-01623-JAD-NJK
20) ORDER GRANTING
21) PLAINTIFF ALI SHAHROKHI'S
22) MOTION FOR FOURTEEN (14)
23) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO
24) FILE RESPONSES TO
25) DEFENDANTS THOMAS
26) STANDISH AND PHILIP
27) SPRADLING'S MOTION TO
28) DISMISS (ANTI-SLAPP)
29) [ECF. 15]; KIZZY BURROW'S
30) JOINDER..., KIZZY BURROW'S
31) MOTION TO DISMISS
32) (ANTI-SLAPP) [ECF. 18]; AND
33) DEFENDANT JUDGE
34) MATTHEW HARTER'S MOTION
35) TO DISMISS... [ECF. 28].
36)
37) (FIRST REQUEST)
38)

39 **MOTION FOR REQUEST TO EXTEND DEADLINE**

40 Plaintiff, ALI SHAHROKHI, in proper person, hereby submits his
41 Motion for Fourteen (14) Day Extension of Time to File Responses to
42 Defendants' Motions to Dismiss [ECF. 15]; [ECF. 18]; and [ECF. 28]. This is
43 the First Request for such extension of time.

1 (1) As outlined below, good cause exists to grant the requested extension
2 (the motion is not being made for improper purposes and/or being made for
3 purposes of unreasonable delay).

4 (2) A serious personal family matter has impacted my response time.
5 Approximately, a week prior to Defendant Standish and Spradling's Motion
6 to Dismiss [ECF. 15] being filed, my sister in California died. I have been
7 travelling back and forth handling her affairs.

8 (3) Additionally, I was served with three Motions To Dismiss within a
9 week of my sister's death and all require concurrent responses.

10 (4) This case is very important to me and as a pro se litigant, the topics are
11 complex and requires a lot of independent research on my part.

12 (5) The issues presented in the Motions to Dismiss are numerous and I
13 respectfully ask this Court for an additional Fourteen (14) days so that I may
14 respond properly.

15 (6) This case is complex and requires me, as a pro se litigant, to perform
16 quite a bit of independent research.

17 Accordingly, a short fourteen day extension of time to file responses to
18 [ECF. 15]; [ECF. 18]; and [ECF. 28] is being requested.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated: October 21, 2020

21 
22 United States Magistrate Judge

23
24
25
26
27
28